

Exercise: Let $B: V \times V \rightarrow F$ be a non degenerate bilinear form

Then for any basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V , we can find another basis $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ s.t.

$$B(v_i, v_j^*) = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Fact: Let F be a number field. Then:

$$(\cdot, \cdot): F \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(xy)$$

is a non degenerate bilinear form.

Theorem: Let F be a number field. Then \mathcal{O}_F is a Dedekind domain.

Recall there are 3 conditions for a Dedekind domain.

We will prove them in the following propositions.

Proposition: Let F be a number field. Then \mathcal{O}_F is a Noetherian ring.

Proof: (1) We can find a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module M s.t. \mathcal{O}_F is a \mathbb{Z} -submodule of M

(2) Use (1) to prove the proposition.

(1) F is a number field. $F = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$
with $n = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Recall, when showing $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_F) = F$, we can find $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$d\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_F$$

Notice $F = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{d\alpha_1, \dots, d\alpha_n\}$

Therefore, we can find $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathcal{O}_F$

s.t. β_1, \dots, β_n is a basis for F/\mathbb{Q} .

By the fact, we can find $\beta_1^* \dots \beta_n^*$, a basis of F ,

$$\text{Tr}(\beta_i \beta_j^*) = \delta_{ij}$$

Claim: $\mathcal{O}_F \subseteq M = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\beta_1^*, \dots, \beta_n^*)$

Let $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_F$, since $\beta_1^*, \dots, \beta_n^*$ is a basis,

$$\beta = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \beta_j^* \quad a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$$

It suffices to show: $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_i \beta) = \text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\beta_i \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \beta_j^*\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_i \beta_j^*) = a_i$$

$$\beta, \beta_i \in \mathcal{O}_F \Rightarrow \text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_i \beta) \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow a_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(2) Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ be an ideal. $\mathcal{O}_F \subseteq M$ as a \mathbb{Z} -submodule

Then we can also view \mathfrak{a} as a \mathbb{Z} -submodule of M .

M is finitely generated + \mathbb{Z} P.I.D $\Rightarrow M$ is a Noetherian module

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is a finitely generated ideal. \square

Proposition: \mathcal{O}_F is integrally closed.

Proof: Let $\alpha \in \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_F) = F$. Suppose that

we can find monic $f(x) \in \mathcal{O}_F[x]$ s.t. $f(\alpha) = 0$

Need to show: $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F$.

Write $f(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} x + a_n$ $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_F$.

$\Rightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module.

$f(\alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha)$ is finitely generated

$\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ - module.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha) \\
 \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \\
 \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\
 \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}
 \left. \begin{array}{l}
 \text{finitely generated} \\
 \text{finitely generated.}
 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{finitely generated.}$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is finitely generated as \mathbb{Z} -module and α is an algebraic integer $\Rightarrow \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F$. \square

Proposition: Every ^{nonzero} prime ideal in \mathcal{O}_F is a max'l ideal.

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ be a prime ideal.

Set $I = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$. This is an ideal of \mathbb{Z} .

Next, take $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, $xy \in I \Rightarrow xy \in \mathfrak{p}$

\mathfrak{p} a prime ideal, \Rightarrow either x or $y \in \mathfrak{p}$ in \mathbb{Z}
 \Rightarrow either x or y in $I \Rightarrow I$ is a prime ideal

Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = (p)$ for some prime number.

Note: $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$ is a nonzero ideal in \mathbb{Z} : take $y \in \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$

can find $y^n + a_1 y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ $a_n \neq 0$.

$y \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow a_n \in \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} \neq \{0\}$

This shows: for $n \in \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$, the image of n in

$\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$ is identified to $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$

$$\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F \Rightarrow \alpha^n + a_1 \alpha^{n-1} + a_2 \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + a_n = 0 \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\text{mod } \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \bar{\alpha}^n + \bar{a}_1 \bar{\alpha}^{n-1} + \bar{a}_2 \bar{\alpha}^{n-2} + \dots + \bar{a}_n = 0 \quad \bar{a}_i \in \mathbb{Z}/(\mathfrak{p})$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\alpha} \text{ is an algebraic number in } \mathbb{Z}/(\mathfrak{p})$$

This means, for any $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$, $\bar{\alpha}$ is algebraic over

a field $\mathbb{Z}/(\mathfrak{p}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$ is a field $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is maximal. \square

Lemma: Let F be a field, R an integral domain and

$F \subseteq R$. Suppose that for any $\alpha \in R$, α is

algebraic over F , that is, can find $f(x) \in F[x]$

$$\text{st. } f(\alpha) = 0$$

Then R is a field. \square

Proof: It suffices to show: for any $\alpha \in A$, $\alpha^{-1} \in A$. \square

$$\text{Then } A \supseteq F[\alpha] = F(\alpha)$$

\uparrow
 α is algebraic over F .

Therefore $\alpha^{-1} \in A$. \square

Let R be a ring. Let $\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2$ be two ideals of R .

Then we define:

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{a}_2 := \left\{ \sum a_i b_i : a_i \in \mathfrak{a}_1, b_i \in \mathfrak{a}_2 \right\}$$

This is an ideal of R .

Check: (1) $\mathfrak{a}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{a}_2 = \mathfrak{a}_2 \cdot \mathfrak{a}_1$

(2) $\mathfrak{a}_1 \cdot (\mathfrak{a}_2 \cdot \mathfrak{a}_3) = (\mathfrak{a}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{a}_2) \cdot \mathfrak{a}_3$.

(3) $\mathfrak{a} \cdot R = R \cdot \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}$.

Theorem: Let R be a Dedekind domain. Then for any ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$, \mathfrak{a} can be written as a product of prime ideals, that is,

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n \quad \mathfrak{p}_i \text{ prime ideals.}$$

This decomposition is unique up to permutation.

Remark: This will imply:

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s^{r_s} \quad \mathfrak{p}_i \text{ distinct prime ideal}$$

\mathfrak{p}_i and r_i are uniquely determined.

Definition: A fractional ideal of F is a finitely generated
nonzero \mathcal{O}_F -module.

Denote by $I(F)$ the set of all fractional ideals.

Example: For $0 \neq a \in F$, we can define a fractional ideal:

$$(a) := \{ ra : r \in \mathcal{O}_F \}$$

Such fractional ideals will be called fractional principal ideals.

Denote by $P(F)$ the set of fractional principal ideals

Then for $M, N \in I(F)$, we can also define

$$M \cdot N := \left\{ \sum m_i \cdot n_i : m_i \in M, n_i \in N \right\} \in I(F)$$

Lemma: Let $M \in I(F)$, a fractional ideal. Then

we can find $d \in \mathcal{O}_F$ st. dM is an ideal of \mathcal{O}_F .

Proof: $M \in I(F) \Rightarrow M$ is finitely generated by x_1, \dots, x_n

with $x_i \in F$.

Notice that $F = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_F)$, we can find $d \in \mathcal{O}_F$ st.

$$dx_i \in \mathcal{O}_F.$$

Therefore $dM \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$

M is a \mathcal{O}_F -module $\Rightarrow dM$ is an ideal of \mathcal{O}_F .

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal. We define:

$$\mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \{x \in F : x\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F\} \in \mathcal{I}(F).$$

Lemma: $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$. (This implies: $\mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_F$)

Proof: ① (Show $\mathcal{O}_F \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$)

We have $\mathcal{O}_F \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$ as $\mathcal{O}_F \cdot \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$.

Take $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq (a) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$.

Claim: by arranging $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$, we can assume that

$\mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p}$ since \mathcal{O}_F is a Dedekind domain.

(Otherwise, we can find $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i - \mathfrak{p}$.
Then $a_1 \cdots a_r \in \mathfrak{p}$ A contradiction)

$$(a) = \mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r.$$

Moreover, by the unique factorization,

$$(a) \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$$

Take $b \in \mathfrak{p}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r - (a) \Rightarrow b \notin a \cdot \mathcal{O}_F$.

that is: $a^{-1}b \notin \mathcal{O}_F$.

However, $a^{-1}b \mathfrak{p} \subseteq a^{-1} \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq a^{-1}(a) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F.$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}b \in \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$$

②. Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{p}.$

Take $x \in \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$, \mathfrak{p} is finitely generated by x_1, \dots, x_n

$$\text{Then } x(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot M$$

with M being a $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_F.$

$$\text{Then taking } f(x) = \det(I_n \cdot x - M) \quad f(x) = 0.$$

This means: x is integral over $\mathcal{O}_F.$

$$\mathcal{O}_F \text{ integrally closed} \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{O}_F \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F.$$

A contradiction!

□

Proposition: (1) $(I(F), \cdot)$ is an abelian group.

(2) $\mathcal{P}(F)$ is a subgroup of $I(F).$

Proof: Check: (1) $M \cdot N = N \cdot M$

$$(2) M_1 \cdot (M_2 \cdot M_3) = (M_1 \cdot M_2) \cdot M_3$$

$$(3) M \cdot \mathcal{O}_F = \mathcal{O}_F \cdot M = M.$$

Therefore, it suffices to find M^{-1} for $M \in I(F)$

Claim: $M^{-1} = \{ x \in F : xM \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F \}$

① Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_F . Then $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{I}(F)$.

By definition: $\mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$.

Lemma: $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$ (Prove later).

Since \mathcal{O}_F is a Dedekind domain and \mathfrak{p} prime $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is max^l

This will force $\mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_F$

② Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal. Then $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$

Then $\mathfrak{a} \cdot (\mathfrak{p}_1^{-1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}_F$.

Next, we show: $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{-1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{-1}$

By the definition of \mathfrak{a}^{-1} , $\mathfrak{p}_1^{-1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{-1}$

Then take $x \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}$, $x\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$

$$x\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1^{-1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1^{-1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{-1}$$

Since $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1^{-1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_F$, take $1 \in \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{p}_1^{-1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{-1}$

$$\Rightarrow x \cdot 1 \in \mathfrak{p}_1^{-1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{-1}$$

③. Let M be a fractional ideal. Then we can find

$c \in \mathcal{O}_F$ s.t. $c \cdot M \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ and $c \cdot M$ is an ideal of \mathcal{O}_F .

$$(c \cdot M) \cdot (c \cdot M)^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_F.$$

We can show: $(c \cdot M)^{-1} = c^{-1} M^{-1}$

This implies: $M \cdot M^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_F$.

2) This is easy since $(c)^{-1} = (c^{-1})$.

Definition: Let F be a number field. The class group

$$H(F) := I(F) / P(F).$$

Theorem: $H(F)$ is a finite abelian group.

Set: $h(F) := \# H(F)$, the class number.